



**Brighton & Hove  
City Council**

**COUNCIL  
ADDENDUM**

**4.30PM, THURSDAY, 31 JANUARY 2013**

**COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL**



## ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
75.	<b>WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.</b>	<b>1 - 2</b>
76.	<b>DEPUTATIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.</b>	<b>3 - 4</b>
77.	<b>WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS.</b>	<b>5 - 6</b>
80.	<b>SUBMISSION CITY PLAN, PART 1</b>	<b>7 - 26</b>
	Combined list of amendments from the Conservative and Labour & Co-operative Groups (copies attached).	
	Extract from the proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee meeting held on the 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2013 (copy attached).	
	List of unsound amendments from the Conservative Group (copy attached).	
81.	<b>ADOPTION OF THE EAST SUSSEX, SOUTH DOWNS AND BRIGHTON &amp; HOVE WASTE AND MINERALS PLAN</b>	<b>27 – 30</b>
	Extract from the proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee meeting held on the 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2013 (copy attached).	
82.	<b>COMMUNITY SAFETY, CRIME REDUCTION AND DRUGS STRATEGY 2011-2014</b>	<b>31 – 32</b>
	Extract from the proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee meeting held on the 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2013 (copy attached).	
85.	<b>HEALTH AND SAFETY ANNUAL SERVICE PLAN 2013/14</b>	<b>33 – 34</b>
	Extract from the proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee meeting held on the 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2013 (copy attached).	



**WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed for questions submitted by a member of the public who either lives or works in the area of the authority at each ordinary meeting of the Council.

Every question shall be put and answered without discussion, but the person to whom a question has been put may decline to answer. The person who asked the question may ask one relevant supplementary question, which shall be put and answered without discussion.

The following five written questions have been received from members of the public.

**(a) Mr Kemble**

“When consultation was carried out regarding the installation and location of the communal bins in Connaught Road the building which is now West Hove Infant School was the Adult Education Centre.

Following a serious arson incident involving the bins will the Council agree to consult residents again with a view to re locating the Communal bins at the Northern end of Connaught Rd.?”

**Councillor West, Chair of the Environment & Sustainability Committee, will reply.**

**(b) Ms Paynter**

“What planned access to public toilets and disabled public toilets at Hove Town Hall has BHCC organised for people using City Direct after building works are completed, please? I note that the corridor linking the two areas has now been blocked off with newly installed doors?”

**Councillor Littman, Deputy Chair of the Policy & Resources Committee (Finance), will reply.**

**(c) Mr Barraball**

"How will each councillor, irrespective of party affiliation, plan to vote on the many challenging issues facing this unitary council authority in a manner that best facilitates service delivery in order to maintain quality and clarity for vulnerable clients [and their families] whilst also maintaining fair wages and terms of employment for the many and diverse employees who deliver these services."

**Councillor Jason Kitcat, Leader of City Council, will reply.**

**(d) Mr Tilley**

"The Council was busy last year with the start of many projects including: 7 Dials improvements, 20MPH scheme, energy and water saving meters.

What do you feel were the highlights from last year and what are the plans for this year?"

**Councillor Jason Kitcat, Leader of City Council, will reply.**

**DEPUTATIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting of the Council for the hearing of depositions from members of the public. Each deputation may be heard for a maximum of five minutes following which one Member of the Council, nominated by the Mayor, may speak in response. It shall then be moved by the Mayor and voted on without discussion that the deputation be thanked for attending and its subject matter noted.

Notification of one Deputation has been received. The spokesperson is entitled to speak for 5 minutes.

**(a) Deputation concerning the proposed inclusion of Toads Hole Valley (THV) in City Plan.****(Spokesperson) – Mr N Sladen**

1. One of the last remaining green open spaces within our city boundaries is under threat and needs to be treated with respect. It would be criminal to destroy THV with over development when there are already so many offices, industrial units, shops, business premises and the like standing empty across our city. The opportunities to create housing units where people really want to live are there.
2. THV serves many uses to residents in the Goldstone Valley, Hangleton and communities close by. It provides green space, a green lung and a barrier to the bypass. It is home to protected wildlife species, flora and fauna - all of which will be lost should the scheme go ahead. Do we want that legacy for future generations?
3. Brown field sites should be the priority now within the city providing immediate solutions to the need for enterprise, jobs and economic regeneration and homes. These can be delivered now to the people of Brighton and Hove – not in some far off distant time. Action is required now to meet immediate needs. I am aware there are some moves elsewhere in this respect but to destroy THV on a whim is totally unacceptable.
4. Clearly in the rush to include THV in the plan, no thought has been expended on the impact to the road network should King George VI Avenue be taken out of the equation. Many residents are very worried about traffic flows in Woodland Drive, Goldstone Crescent, Nevill Road and surrounding residential roads being used as rat runs. As car parking is not considered a priority in the plans before us – where do we imagine the vehicles in the future will be parked? Not all users of any development (well over 700 housing units) will be so keen to use public transport and or even ride bicycles to access THV. Parking would seriously affect those living in the Goldstone Valley.

5. I call upon all councillors here today to take a step back and realise that to proceed with including THV in the City Plan would be a grave mistake. The wanton destruction of one of the remaining green areas would be a disaster. Remember that previous planning applications for THV – a football stadium and a business park – were both rejected.

**Councillor J. Kitcat, Leader of the Council will respond.**

Note: In accordance with the agreed protocol for the consideration of the City Plan, the deputation will be taken into account by Members during the debate on the item listed at Item No. 80 on the Council agenda. A written response will also be provided to the spokesperson following the meeting.



**WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS**

The following questions listed on page 25 of the agenda have been received from Councillors and will be taken as read along with the written answers listed below:

**(a) Councillor Cllr G. Theobald**

“Will the lead Member for Finance specifically detail how the £228k savings agreed for the Human Resources department in the 2012/13 Budget are being delivered and explain why the Administration is proposing to exempt them from any further savings in 2013/14?”

**Reply from Councillor Littman.**

“The Human Resources service started 2012/13 with an underlying budget overspend of approximately £300,000 which needed to be addressed. In addition a further £228,000 saving was brought forward to 1 January 2013 from its original planned start date of 1 April 2013 giving a part year saving of £57,000. A number of posts are being removed from the staffing structure to deliver the total savings requirements and a more efficient approach to commissioning training courses agreed. In addition the service has piloted the council’s new systems thinking methodology and an implementation plan has been drawn up. The priorities for the service for 2013/14 include pay modernisation and implementing job families, supporting the introduction of a new values, behaviours and performance management framework, continuing to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the payroll function and enabling the council’s broader modernisation programme, including further Workstyles rollouts. The combined impact of both bringing forward planned 2013/14 savings and the need for the service to support large change programmes across the organisation means that further savings are not considered to be deliverable at this time.”

**(b) Councillor Wealls**

“Will the Chair of the Children & Young People Committee please provide an update on the proposed acquisition of the Holland Road Police Station for use as a new school?”

**Reply from Councillor Shanks.**

“It was agreed that the council and Police Authority would jointly appoint a company to undertake a red book valuation of the site. This has been done and the draft report sent to both the Council and the Police Authority. The Council has confirmed that it is happy with the report and is awaiting confirmation from the Police that the final report can be issued.

This is a thorough and well researched report taking into account all known information pertinent to the site. It complies with the valuation brief issued

jointly by the Council and the Police and is an independent objective assessment of the value of the site.

The report to Policy and Resources Committee in July 2012 authorised the Strategic Director People jointly with the Strategic Director Resources to proceed to acquire the freehold of Hove Police station, without planning consent in place, for the express purpose of providing primary school places in Hove. The same report noted the likely purchase price of the site.

The initial draft of the report confirms that the value of the site is within the ranges mentioned in the P & R report mentioned above. Once the report is accepted by the Police and issued negotiations on the actual price to be paid will commence.”

**(c) Councillor Brown**

“Will the Chair of the Transport Committee tell me what the impact of the new cycle lane has been on bus journey times along, and in the vicinity of the Old Shoreham Road?”

**Reply from Councillor Davey.**

“Thank you for your question. Brighton & Hove Bus Company has reported some delays on bus journey times for school bus services which operate in the peak and have now adjusted timing and routing of services accordingly.

It is important to understand that journey times are mostly influenced by junction capacity and in this case journey times along Old Shoreham Road are mainly influenced by the junction capacity of Upper Drive. When the council introduced the new cycle lane we also took the opportunity to improve safety for pedestrians at the Upper Drive junction, particularly school children. This was at the request of local residents and ward councillors who had been voicing concern about safety at the junction for some time. The pedestrian crossing facilities were therefore upgraded and additional crossing time introduced which has had a slight impact on bus journey times. It is always difficult to balance the needs of all modes of transport at junctions but the safety of children is paramount if they are to be encouraged to walk to school.

As part of the post scheme monitoring, officers are further looking at the phasing of the traffic signals to ensure they are providing maximum benefit to all pedestrians while ensuring that buses and general traffic are not unnecessarily delayed.”

**THE SPATIAL STRATEGY**  
**SOUND FINAL amendments – Labour & Co-operative Group**

COUNCIL  
 January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Labour & Co-operative Group	Agenda Item: 80
--	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

<b>The Spatial Strategy</b> – including development areas (DAs 1-8) and special areas (SAs 1-6)							
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

SO21	P23	n/a	Lines 3 and 4	Replace ‘...future increases in population through expansion of successful schools and by providing new schools’ with ‘...future increases in population <u>by working with partners, including not for profit organisations, to build new schools and by expanding successful schools (where possible, with the consent of the school)</u> ’.	<b>Sound</b>	Councillor Anne Pissaridou	Councillor Warren Morgan
SA6 Sustainable Neighbourhoods	p. 123	3.180	Line 9	Amend sentence being ‘Where there is an identified shortfall of places...’ to: ‘Where there is an identified shortfall of <u>school places the city council will work with partners, including not for profit organisations, to identify sites and build new schools and expand successful schools (where possible, with the consent of the school)</u> ’.	<b>Sound</b>		

7

<b>Amendment No.2 Reference</b>	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
DA4 New England Quarter/London Road	p. 57	n/a	A5	Replace part 5 of the policy to: 'Working with Southern Rail, Network Rail <u>and partners</u> to enhance the environment and <u>maximise use of space</u> around Brighton Rail Station (Brighton Station Gateway) recognising its important role as a gateway to the city, a public space, a <u>major transport interchange</u> and <u>the need to improve links to and from the station.</u> '	<b>Sound</b>	Councillor Warren Morgan	Councillor Anne Pissaridou

<b>Amendment No.3 Reference</b>	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
DA5 Eastern Road and Edward Street	p. 69	3.59	First sentence	Add the following (underlined) to first sentence of paragraph: 'A feasibility study will be carried out <u>to consider the re-routing and reduction of through traffic</u> (with the exception of residents' vehicles, public transport including taxis, ambulances other hospital transport and vehicles directly accessing the hospital) <u>and if approved implemented prior to completion of the 3Ts development at the Royal Sussex County Hospital ...</u> '	<b>Sound</b>	Councillor Warren Morgan	Councillor Anne Pissaridou

<b>Amendment No.4 Reference</b>	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
SA4 Urban Fringe	p. 112	n/a	Last section policy relating to development in urban fringe	Amend introductory sentence from 'Development within the urban fringe will only be permitted where' to state:  'Development within the urban fringe <u>will not be permitted except where:</u> '	<b>Sound</b>	Councillor Warren Morgan	Councillor Anne Pissaridou

**A SUSTAINABLE CITY**  
**SOUND FINAL amendments - Conservative Group**

COUNCIL  
 January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Conservative Group	Agenda Item: 80
-------------------------------------	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

**A Sustainable City – Sustainable Buildings (CP8), transport (CP9), biodiversity (CP10) and flood risk (CP11)**

CP9 – Sustainable Transport		168	6	<p>Co-ordinate the provision of public parking and traffic management measures across the city through an integrated approach to car parking charges, car park improvements, (including signing and information), controlled parking zones and ensure that capacity of public car parks is not increased in central areas.</p> <p>Prepare new guidance on parking and servicing requirements for new developments that will establish <u>a city-wide zoned approach to off street car parking provision with only the most accessible locations in the city centre considered for car-free housing</u>; introduce cycle parking standards; allow provision of disabled parking bays and incorporate new technologies e.g.</p>	<b>Sound</b>	Cllr. Hyde	Cllr. Cox
-----------------------------	--	-----	---	---	--------------	------------	-----------

10

				electric vehicle charging points or parking management. Prepare and implement a strategy to manage coach parking.			

**A SUSTAINABLE CITY**  
**SOUND FINAL amendments - Labour & Co-operative Group**

COUNCIL  
 January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Labour & Co-operative Group	Agenda Item: 80
--	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

**A Sustainable City – Sustainable Buildings (CP8), transport (CP9), biodiversity (CP10) and flood risk (CP11)**

CP8 Sustainable Buildings	162	4.77	Text amendment	Replace the existing text with the underlined text below to paragraph 4.77 of the supporting text of the policy: ‘... Within this context, the need to secure improvement in the environmental performance of the existing stock and more resource efficient and carbon neutral development <u>whilst delivering homes and jobs through development is challenging. The combination of standards with provisions for viability assessments will help to address this challenge. These will provide the flexibility needed to ensure the right balance between the economic, environmental and social objectives of the City Plan. Energy, water ...</u> ’.	<b>Sound</b>	Cllr Gill Mitchell	Cllr Alan Robins
---------------------------	-----	------	----------------	---	--------------	--------------------	------------------

12



Amendment No.2 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
CP9 Sustainable Transport	p. 166	CP9, A1	Add new part b to A1	<p>Add the following text in the place of part b (that will be deleted).                      Insert:</p> <p>‘ Park and Ride facilities will form part of a wider package of measures to control and manage parking in the city centre and therefore reduce the impact of car traffic, while providing access to the central area by public transport.                      In assessing the suitability of sites for Park and Ride, the Local Planning Authority will have regard to issues of viability and deliverability and will need to be satisfied that the following planning considerations are met :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. there will be a sequential approach to a site search where it should be demonstrated that existing major car parks in the outer built-up area cannot be secured for Park and Ride use as part of their current or proposed use, followed then by other sites within the outer built-up area before looking beyond the built-up area boundary;</li> <li>2. there will be safe and easy access to the site from the main road network;</li> </ol>	<b>Sound</b>	Cllr Gill Mitchell	Cllr Alan Robins

				<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. sites will be in locations that will support or help extend the existing public transport network;</li> <li>4. there will be no significant adverse effects on residential amenity and the built and natural environment in the area.</li> <li>5. sites will be subject to an environmental impact assessment, where appropriate, and measures will be taken to ensure that any adverse impacts are minimised to an acceptable level; and</li> <li>6. Park and Ride locations will not have a significant adverse impact on the South Downs National Park, sites of European Nature Conservation Importance and other national and local designations.</li> </ol>			
CP9 Sustainable Transport	P170	Insert new paragraph 4.99	New paragraph to supporting text	<p>Insert the following text at paragraph 4.99 and delete existing paragraph 4.99:</p> <p>‘Part A1b of the policy sets out the main strategic road-based scheme proposed to mitigate the impact of longer distance car journeys into the city. It is to promote and facilitate provision of purpose built park and ride facilities during the life of the City Plan. Appropriate sites will be near or adjacent to strategic road corridors into the city including the A270 Old Shoreham Road, A259</p>	<b>Sound</b>		

				coast road, A23 London Road and A270 Lewes Road. These sites will provide greater choice for people to access the city centre and the South Downs National Park. Proposals will be assessed against the criteria set out in the policy. All proposals for Park and Ride should be considered and include measures to provide bus services and priority measures where possible, and demonstrate how they will help manage and control city centre parking'			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

15

<b>Amendment No.3 Reference</b>	<b>Policy Number</b>	<b>Page Number</b>	<b>Paragraph number</b>	<b>Amendment proposed (revised text)</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>Councillor proposing</b>	<b>Councillor seconding</b>
CP9	P 170	Para 4.98	Last sentence of paragraph	Amend text to last sentence of para 4.98 to: 'The need for rail service capacity and line improvements between the Sussex coast and London, including the reinstatement of the rail line between Lewes and Uckfield, are supported.'		Cllr Gill Mitchell	Cllr Alan Robins

**AN ATTRACTIVE CITY**  
**SOUND FINAL amendments – Conservative Group**

COUNCIL  
 January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Conservative Group	Agenda Item: 80
-------------------------------------	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

**An Attractive City – urban design (CP12), public streets and places (CP13), housing density (CP14), heritage (CP15), open space (CP16) and sports provision (CP17)**

CP14 Housing Density		189		<b>Just alter first sentence of policy to read:</b> Residential development should be of a density that is appropriate to the identified positive character of the neighbourhood <u>and be determined on a case by case basis.</u>	<b>Sound</b>	Cllr. Peltzer Dunn	Cllr. Mears

**HEALTHY AND BALANCED COMMUNITIES  
SOUND FINAL amendments – Conservative Group**

COUNCIL  
January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Conservative Group	Agenda Item: 80
-------------------------------------	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

<b>Healthy and Balanced Communities – healthy city (CP18), housing mix (CP19), affordable housing (CP20), student housing and HMOs (CP21) and traveller accommodation (CP22).</b>							
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

CP20 – Affordable Housing		213		Replace the first section of policy from ‘The Council will require ....’ to part c ending ‘...5 and 9 (net) dwellings.’ with:  ‘The Council will negotiate to secure a 40% element of affordable housing provision on sites of 10 or more dwellings (net).’	Sound	Cllr. Peltzer Dunn	Cllr. Carol Theobald
CP20		215	4.218	Line 4 - Supporting Text Changes Delete ‘thresholds’ and insert ‘threshold’			
		215	4.219	Line 6 - Amend to read: (40% on sites of 10 units or more). Delete rest of text in the paragraph.			

**HEALTHY AND BALANCED COMMUNITIES**  
**SOUND FINAL amendments - Labour & Co-operative Group**

COUNCIL  
 January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Labour & Co-operative Group	Agenda Item: 80
--	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

**Healthy and Balanced Communities – healthy city (CP18), housing mix (CP19), affordable housing (CP20), student housing and HMOs (CP21) and traveller accommodation (CP22).**

CP19 Housing Mix	209	CP19a. i	Line three	Add ' <b>extra care housing;</b> ' after ;....older and disabled people;'	<b>Sound</b>	Cllr Anne Meadows	Cllr Leigh Farrow

**Council**

31 January 2013

**Agenda Item 80**

Brighton &amp; Hove City Council

**Subject:** **Submission City Plan, Part 1 - Extract from the Proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee Meeting held on the 24 January 2013**

**Date of Meeting:** **31 January 2013**

**Report of:** **Monitoring Officer**

**Contact Officer:** Name: **Mark Wall** Tel: **29-1006**

E-mail: [mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk](mailto:mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk)

**Wards Affected:** All

**FOR GENERAL RELEASE****Action Required of Council:**

To receive the item referred from the Policy & Resources Committee for approval:

**Recommendation:**

- (1) That the summary of the responses to the consultation on the draft City Plan Part 1, (summarised in Appendix 1 to the report with a full schedule attached to the Statement of Consultation on city council's website, placed in the Members' Rooms and in Customer Service Centres) be noted;
- (2) That the Proposed Submission City Plan Part 1 be agreed and published for statutory public consultation for a six week period commencing in February 2013, (Along with appendices and supporting documents);
- (3) That the document should be subsequently submitted to the Secretary of State subject to no material changes, other than alterations for the purposes of clarification, improved accuracy of meaning or typographical corrections, being necessary;
- (4) That the Head of Planning and Public Protection be authorised to agree any draft "main modifications" to the City Plan Part 1 necessary to make it sound and to authorise the publication of such draft modifications for public consultation save that should any draft modification involve a major shift in the policy approach of the City Plan Part 1 the draft modification shall be referred by the Head of Planning and Public Protection to the Policy & Resources Committee for approval;
- (5) That it be noted that all modifications to the Plan will be presented to the Policy & Resources Committee and Full Council in due course as part of the adoption of the City Plan Part 1; and
- (6) That the following studies as supporting evidence for the City Plan and further Local Development Documents be approved:

1. The Employment Land Study Review 2012
2. Draft Transport Assessment 2012
3. The Brighton and Hove Energy Study 2012
4. Local Housing Requirements update 2012
5. Strategic Housing land Availability assessment (SHLAA) Update 2012
6. Housing Needs Assessment 2012
7. Site capacity assessments 2012.

## **POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE**

**4.00 pm 24 January 2013  
COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL**

### **DRAFT MINUTES**

**Present:** Councillor J Kitcat (Chair) Councillors Littman (Deputy Chair), G Theobald (Opposition Spokesperson), Hamilton, Mitchell (Opposition Spokesperson), A Norman, Peltzer Dunn, Shanks, Wakefield and West.

### **PART ONE**

#### **113 SUBMISSION CITY PLAN, PART 1**

The Strategic Director introduced the report which sought approval of the publication of the Submission City Plan, Part 1, part of Brighton & Hove's Local Development Framework, along with its supporting papers for a six week period of public consultation during February, March and April 2013, prior to submission to the secretary of State. He stated that plan had been three years in the making and hoped that it could be fully supported.

The Head of Planning & Public Protection stated that he was aware of the national debate around planning and suggested that it was an opportune time to be bringing forward the city plan. He wished to thank all those Members and residents who had participated in the development process for the plan's submission to the council and noted that there had been an effective consultation process which had enabled people to comment on areas of the plan. He believed that the methodology behind the plan was robust and supported the various targets that were detailed, including those where they did not reach government stated figures e.g. on housing. He hoped that the Plan would be agreed and subsequently approved by the Secretary of State in April to enable its publication in September and final adoption in 2014.

The Chair stated that he wished to thank everyone concerned with the development of the Plan and the report that was before the committee. He welcomed the community



engagement process and believed it set out a long-term vision which was very challenging.

Councillor Mitchell congratulated the officers on all their hard work and stated that she felt it was an exceptional piece of work. She noted that CP8, sustainable Buildings policy was finely balanced and hoped that the conditions set out would not mitigate against new and affordable homes.

The Head of Planning & Public Protection stated that he believed the policy was framed to enable a viability test but he was aware that it was an area that would need to be kept under close review.

Councillor G. Theobald stated that he preferred to reserve judgement on the matter until the council meeting.

Councillor West stated that the sustainability factors would need to be monitored and taken into account in regard to the life-time cost of new buildings and improved energy costs and contributions to the sustainable footprint of the city. He believed it was an important plan for the future and provided for a flexible approach.

The Chair stated that there was a need to reduce energy costs and these needed to be taken in conjunction with the issue of social justice, i.e. the buildings should be affordable to run. He then put the recommendations to the vote.

#### **RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND:**

- (1) That the summary of the responses to the consultation on the draft City Plan Part 1, (summarised in Appendix 1 to the report with a full schedule attached to the Statement of Consultation on city council's website, placed in the Members' Rooms and in Customer Service Centres) be noted;
- (2) That the Proposed Submission City Plan Part 1 be agreed and published for statutory public consultation for a six week period commencing in February 2013, (Along with appendices and supporting documents);
- (3) That the document should be subsequently submitted to the Secretary of State subject to no material changes, other than alterations for the purposes of clarification, improved accuracy of meaning or typographical corrections, being necessary;
- (4) That the Head of Planning and Public Protection be authorised to agree any draft "main modifications" to the City Plan Part 1 necessary to make it sound and to authorise the publication of such draft modifications for public consultation save that should any draft modification involve a major shift in the policy approach of the City Plan Part 1 the draft modification shall be referred by the Head of Planning and Public Protection to the Policy & Resources Committee for approval;
- (5) That it be noted that all modifications to the Plan will be presented to the Policy & Resources Committee and Full Council in due course as part of the adoption of the City Plan Part 1; and

(6) That the following studies as supporting evidence for the City Plan and further Local Development Documents be approved:

1. The Employment Land Study Review 2012
2. Draft Transport Assessment 2012
3. The Brighton and Hove Energy Study 2012
4. Local Housing Requirements update 2012
5. Strategic Housing land Availability assessment (SHLAA) Update 2012
6. Housing Needs Assessment 2012
7. Site capacity assessments 2012.

**THE SPATIAL STRATEGY**  
**UNSOUND FINAL amendments - Conservative Group**

COUNCIL  
 January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Conservative Group	Agenda Item: 80
-------------------------------------	-----------------

Amendment No.1 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

– including development areas (DAs 1-8) and special areas (SAs 1-6)

SO21		p. 23		Provide additional primary and secondary school places in response to growing demand and future increases in population through proactively facilitating the development of new schools and expanding successful schools. Following consultation with school governors, staff and local families it may be the case that successful schools can not be expanded in areas where the supply of school places is under particular pressure. In such areas, any development should only be considered where a new school can be provided. Assist in the long-term planning of higher and further education establishments, and ensure that they play a full part in the city's economic, social and	<b>Unsound Reason:</b> The final sentence of the amendment - 'In such areas, any development should only be considered where a new school can be provided' - is unsound as it is contrary to national planning policy. The availability of school places in an area cannot decide whether new	Cllr Wealls	Cllr Simson
------	--	-------	--	---	---	-------------	-------------

				environmental development.	development is acceptable.		
--	--	--	--	----------------------------	----------------------------	--	--

Amendment No.2 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

DA7 Toad's Hole Valley		p. 79		<p><b>Delete all text in proposed policy and replace with:</b>                      In order to protect the urban fringe, no development on Toad's Hole Valley will be permitted before 2020. After 2020, development will be permitted during the plan period should monitoring indicate projected shortfalls against the strategic housing, business and education requirements but only after all available brownfield and windfall sites have been exhausted. Under such circumstances, a detailed Supplementary Planning Document will be produced in consultation with local residents and other stakeholders, to enable a viable, sustainable and attractive development to be brought forward.</p>	<p><b>Unsound Reason:</b> The amendment is contrary to national policy (NPPF). A contingency cannot be justified where the full housing requirement (15,800) is not met. It is also contrary to the plan being positively prepared and the NPPF requirement for a 10 year housing land supply to be identified.</p>	Cllr. Brown	Cllr. Bennett

**HEALTHY AND BALANCED COMMUNITIES  
UNSOUND FINAL amendments - Conservative Group**

COUNCIL  
January 31<sup>st</sup> 2013

Group reference: Conservative Group	Agenda Item: 80
-------------------------------------	-----------------

Amendment No.2 Reference	Policy Number	Page Number	Paragraph number	Amendment proposed (revised text)	Evaluation	Councillor proposing	Councillor seconding
--------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------------	----------------------

**Healthy and Balanced Communities – healthy city (CP18), housing mix (CP19), affordable housing (CP20), student housing and HMOs (CP21) and traveller accommodation (CP22).**

	CP22 Traveller Accommodation	222	First para	<p>Provision will be made to meet the city’s needs for permanent and transit traveller accommodation.</p> <p><del>a) The council will seek to deliver 18 permanent pitches to meet assessed requirements to 2019.</del></p> <p>a) Traveller accommodation needs will be reviewed to cover the remaining plan period to 2030;</p> <p><b>b) In order to foster and strengthen community cohesion, the Council will offer advice to any traveller wishing to develop their own individual plot of land.</b></p>	<p><b>Unsound Reason:</b> Contrary to national policy which requires pitch targets to be set in local plans. It is also considered contrary to the other three tests of soundness (justified, effective, and positively prepared).</p>	Cllr. Geoffrey Theobald	Cllr. Ken Norman
--	------------------------------	-----	------------	--	--	-------------------------	------------------

				<p>c) Additional or outstanding pitch requirements will be facilitated through site allocations in Part 2 of the City Plan or through joint Development Plan working with adjacent local planning authorities.</p> <p>e) An early review of this policy may be required to incorporate pitch requirements over the full plan period.</p>			

**Council**

31 January 2013

**Agenda Item 81**

Brighton &amp; Hove City Council

**Subject:** Adoption of the East Sussex, South Downs and Brighton & Hove Waste & Minerals Plan - Extract from the Proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee Meeting held on the 24 January 2013

**Date of Meeting:** 31 January 2013

**Report of:** Monitoring Officer

**Contact Officer:** Name: Mark Wall Tel: 29-1006  
E-mail: mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk

**Wards Affected:** All

**FOR GENERAL RELEASE****Action Required of Council:**

To receive the item referred from the Policy &amp; Resources Committee for approval:

**Recommendation:**

- (1) That the WMP, incorporating the Main Modification and minor modifications, as waste and minerals planning policy subject to the Head of Planning and Public Protection agreeing any further minor changes to the text of the Waste and Minerals Plan with East Sussex County Council and the South Downs National Park Authority be adopted;
- (2) That the analysis of the responses to the consultation on the Main Modification to the WMP be noted;
- (3) That the contents of the Inspector's Report and her conclusion that the WMP is legally compliant and 'sound' be noted;
- (4) That the minor non-material modifications made to the WMP be noted; and
- (5) That the Main Modification made to the WMP be agreed.

**POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE**

**4.00 pm 24 January 2013  
COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

**Present:** Councillor J Kitcat (Chair) Councillors Littman (Deputy Chair), G Theobald (Opposition Spokesperson), Hamilton, Mitchell (Opposition Spokesperson), A Norman, Peltzer Dunn, Shanks, Wakefield and West.

## **PART ONE**

### **120 ADOPTION OF THE EAST SUSSEX, SOUTH DOWNS AND BRIGHTON & HOVE WASTE & MINERALS PLAN**

- 120.1 The strategic Director; Place introduced the report which sought approval of the waste and minerals plan and detailed the outcome of the public examination of the plan. He noted that should the plan be adopted by the council it would provide planning policy for waste management and minerals production in East Sussex and Brighton & Hove and that part of the South Downs National Park which came into East Sussex and Brighton & Hove (the Plan area), to 2026.
- 120.2 The Head of Planning & Public Protection informed the Committee that the plan had been prepared in partnership with East Sussex County Council which was also due to approve the plan. He also noted that the Planning Inspector had been very impressed with the Plan.
- 120.3 Councillor G. Theobald welcomed the report but queried the position in relation to the activity known as 'Fracking' which it appeared could take place within the city, as he was aware of another item on the agenda which had sought the committee's agreement to declaring Brighton & Hove a 'No-Fracking Zone.'
- 120.4 The Head of Planning & Public Protection referred to the policies WMP15 and 16 which should be read together and would need to be addressed should there be any interest in the exploration of oil and gas in the city.
- 120.5 The Chair noted the comments and suggested that the issue of 'Fracking' was likely to be determined by the Government rather than local authorities, however he felt that it was important for the council to indicate its position on the matter. He then put the recommendations to the vote.
- 120.6 **RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND**
- (1) That the WMP, incorporating the Main Modification and minor modifications, as waste and minerals planning policy subject to the Head of Planning and Public Protection agreeing any further minor changes to the text of the Waste and Minerals Plan with East Sussex County Council and the South Downs National Park Authority be adopted;
  - (2) That the analysis of the responses to the consultation on the Main Modification to the WMP be noted;



- (3) That the contents of the Inspector's Report and her conclusion that the WMP is legally compliant and 'sound' be noted;
- (4) That the minor non-material modifications made to the WMP be noted; and
- (5) That the Main Modification made to the WMP be agreed.



**Council**

31 January 2013

**Agenda Item 82**

Brighton &amp; Hove City Council

**Subject:** Community Safety, Crime Reduction & Drugs Strategy 2011-2014 - Extract from the Proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee Meeting held on the 24 January 2013

**Date of Meeting:** 31 January 2013

**Report of:** Monitoring Officer

**Contact Officer:** Name: Mark Wall Tel: 29-1006  
E-mail: mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk

**Wards Affected:** All

**FOR GENERAL RELEASE****Action Required of Council:**

To receive the item referred from the Policy &amp; Resources Committee for approval:

**Recommendation:**

1. That the crime reduction and safety priorities included within the updated Community Safety, Crime Reduction and Drugs Strategy 2011 – 2014 be noted and recommended to council for approval; and
2. That the summary of some of the new work included within the Strategy together with action being taken to improve value for money be noted.

**POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE**

4.00 pm 24 January 2013  
COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

**DRAFT MINUTES**

**Present:** Councillor J Kitcat (Chair) Councillors Littman (Deputy Chair), G Theobald (Opposition Spokesperson), Hamilton, Mitchell (Opposition Spokesperson), A Norman, Peltzer Dunn, Shanks, Wakefield and West.

**PART ONE**

**114 COMMUNITY SAFETY, CRIME REDUCTION AND DRUGS STRATEGY 2011-2014**

- 114.1 The Director of Public Health introduced the report which detailed the Community Safety, Crime Reduction and Drugs Strategy for 2011 – 2014, and set out the priorities and work programme for delivery by the Community Safety Partnership. He stated that the Community Safety delivery team were working closely with Police to deliver the priorities and noted that work was in hand to refresh the strategy and take account of the findings of the Drugs Commission which was due to report in March.
- 114.2 The Chair welcomed the report and noted that he had already held discussions with the recently appointed Police & Crime Commissioner to ensure that they were aware of the good work taking place in the city.
- 114.3 Councillor G. Theobald welcomed the strategy and stated that he fully supported the work of the team and the priorities that had been identified. He also welcomed the intention to work with the Police & Crime Commissioner and asked if further information could be provided in regard to the piloting of the community trigger referred to on page 151 and budget in relation to the prevention of extremism.
- 114.4 Councillor Mitchell welcomed the report and asked for further information in regard to the opportunities and risks associated with the council taking responsibility for 18-year olds and working with repeat offenders.
- 114.5 The Director of Public Health stated that he would be happy to provide additional information to the Members following the meeting.
- 114.6 The Chair noted the comments and put the recommendations to the vote.
- 114.7 **RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND:**
- (1) That the crime reduction and safety priorities included within the updated Community Safety, Crime Reduction and Drugs Strategy 2011 – 2014 be noted and recommended to council for approval; and
  - (2) That the summary of some of the new work included within the Strategy together with action being taken to improve value for money be noted.

**Council**

31 January 2013

**Agenda Item 85**

Brighton &amp; Hove City Council

**Subject:** Annual Health & Safety Service Plan 2013-14 - Extract from the Proceedings of the Policy & Resources Committee Meeting held on the 24 January 2013

**Date of Meeting:** 31 January 2013

**Report of:** Monitoring Officer

**Contact Officer:** Name: **Mark Wall** Tel: **29-1006**

E-mail: mark.wall@brighton-hove.gov.uk

**Wards Affected:** All

**FOR GENERAL RELEASE****Action Required of Council:**

To receive the item referred from the Policy & Resources Committee for approval:

**Recommendation:**

That the proposed Health & Safety Annual Service Plan 2013/2014 as detailed in Appendix 1 to the report be approved.

**POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE**

4.00 pm 24 January 2013

**COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

**Present:** Councillor J Kitcat (Chair) Councillors Littman (Deputy Chair), G Theobald (Opposition Spokesperson), Hamilton, Mitchell (Opposition Spokesperson), A Norman, Peltzer Dunn, Shanks, Wakefield and West.

**PART ONE****129 ANNUAL HEALTH & SAFETY SERVICE PLAN 2013-14**

129.1 **RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND:** That the proposed Health & Safety Annual Service Plan 2013/2014 as detailed in Appendix 1 to the report be recommended to the full Council for approval.

